

1000 Year Reign Debate Book

Pre-Millennial Debate; This is the 1974 debate between Peter Pickering of Hobart, Tasmania, Australia and Jim Waldron. Pickering, who is a member of a little-known sect called Christadelphians, affirmed the classical position that the fleshly Jews on the west bank of the Jordan river constitute God's Israel and that Christ will come in the near future to set up His kingdom and with the Jews rule over the world for one thousand years. Waldron denied this and taught the truth that the church is Jesus' kingdom on planet earth and that Jews and Gentiles who come into Christ are God's true Israel. It is in perfect binding with 159 pages. You may have a copy at cost, plus postage and packaging – a total of \$3. Or you may have ten copies for only \$20 mailed to the same address. Dealer's inquiries are welcome. Send check or money order to **Randall Standefer at P. O. Box 123, Dunlap, TN 37327**

Tipper Gore Wouldn't Change Lifestyle in White House Muriel Dobbin



Tipper Gore, who may be the next first lady, is the kind of political wife who would rather play the drums at a gay rights rock concert than sit through the White House correspondents' annual dinner. Which is what she did this year, while President Clinton sat in black tie listening to Jay Leno tell political jokes. "I care about gay rights and I love to play the drums," Gore, 51, said in an interview at the Naval Observatory, the vice president's residence in Washington.

"I care about gay rights and I love to play the drums."

Gore was a member of "The Wildcats," an all-female band, in high school, and she acknowledged she likes to cut loose at home on a set of bright red drums that were a gift from her husband. Gore announced firmly in an interview that she doesn't plan to change the way she lives if she winds up in the White House as the wife of the president.

Chattanooga Times/Free Press, 8/14/2000, McClatchy Newspapers

NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION
U S POSTAGE PAID
DUNLAP TN 37327
PERMIT #37

DUNLAP CHURCH OF CHRIST
BULLETIN BRIEFS
Jim E. Waldron, Editor
P.O. Box 123
Dunlap, TN 37327

ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED

BULLETIN EDITOR/ELDERS

BULLETIN BRIEFS

Vol. 3

October 2000

Five to Four for Death

On June 28, 2000 the United States Supreme Court ruled in a five to four decision that the law in Nebraska to ban partial birth abortion was not constitutional. In his dissent to this ungodly decision Justice Antonin Scalia wrote:

"Today's decision that the Constitution of the United States prevents the prohibition of a horrible mode of abortion, will be greeted by a firestorm of criticism...as well it should." He further stated : "(this) method of killing a human child...is so horrible that the most clinical description evokes a shudder of revulsion."

Justice Clarence Thomas in expressing his dissent wrote the following description of partial birth abortion:

"After dilating the cervix, the physician will grab the fetus by its feet and pull the fetal body out of the uterus...the head will be held inside the uterus by the woman's cervix. While the fetus is stuck in this position, dangling partly out of the woman's body, just a few inches from completed birth, the physician uses an instrument such as a pair of scissors to tear or perforate the skull. The physician will then either crush the skull or will use a vacuum to remove the brain and other intracranial contents from the fetal skull, collapse the fetus' head, and pull the fetus from the uterus."

Thomas is the only black justice on the court and from his statement you can ascertain his strong opposition to abortion and why the liberal media and politicians hate him. His pro-life stance is why the "pro-choice" (to kill babies) group did all they could to keep him off the supreme court.

"Daniel Patrick Moynihan, the current democratic senator from New York has likened partial birth abortion to infanticide." (All the above quotes are from *National Right to Life News*, Suite 500, 419 Seventh St. NW, Washington, D.C. 20004, August 2000.)

< xtremism About Religion >

Don Ruhl

Are religious people the only ones who have extreme views in matters pertaining to religion?

According to *Newsweek* ("The Censoring of Zachary," by George Will, March 20, 2000, p.82), a first grade boy named Zachary Hood was rewarded for reading proficiency by being able to bring from home a story that he could read to the class. The reading material could be of his choice. He chose "A Big Family" from "The Beginner's Bible." The whole story is below:

"Jacob traveled far away to his uncle's house. He worked for his uncle, taking care of sheep. While he was there, Jacob got married. He had twelve sons. Jacob's big family lived on his uncle's land for many years. But Jacob wanted to go back home. One day, Jacob packed up all his animals and his family and everything he had. They traveled all the way back to where Esau lived. Now Jacob was afraid that Esau might still be angry at him. So he sent a present to Esau. He sent servants who said 'Please don't be angry anymore.' But Esau wasn't angry. He ran to Jacob. He hugged and kissed him. He was happy to see his brother again."

That is it! God or religion is not mentioned at all. However, the teacher took the story away from Zachary because he might influence the other students. George Will says this was the second time Zachary had done something of this nature. At Thanksgiving the teacher told the students to make a picture of something for which they were thankful. The little boy drew a picture of Jesus. It was posted in the hallway. School officials immediately took down the picture.

Mr. Will said: "*It was censored simply because it is known to come from a recognizably religious tradition...Had Zachary read a snippet from, say, the Koran, he probably would have been praised for his sensitivity to 'diversity.'*" Moreover, the school can require children to read "Heather Has Two Mommies." Furthermore, as Will pointed out, "*It is the Medford (New Jersey) school's clear hostility to anything Biblical that violated the Establishment Clause in our Constitution. Not all extremism about religion comes from religious people.*" 2521 Nile Street, Klamath, OR 97601

THE DEATH CULT

Jim E. Waldron

Between the date of the Roe v. Wade decision (Jan. 22, 1973), which legalized abortion on demand, and today more than 35,000,000 American (black, brown, pink, red, white and yellow) babies have been slaughtered like so much vermin. Annually more than 1.2 million continue to be killed. See *Almanac 2000*, New York Times, 122 East 42nd Street, NY, NY 10168.

God warned ancient Israel, "do not kill the innocent and righteous" (Exodus 23:7) and again, "the Lord hates...hands that shed innocent blood" (Proverbs 6:16-17). It is right to be concerned about pollution of the earth with its lakes and streams, but radical feminists, the liberal media and like-minded politicians do not care that the shedding of innocent blood is the worst kind of pollution for the land. Even as it is written, "so you shall not pollute the land where you are; for blood defiles the land" (Numbers 35:33).

Not only is the death cult in America pushing for what they call their "right" to continue to rip babies from the womb, but they are supporting the execution of full-grown individuals through euthanasia. Right now Oregon is the only state that has legalized assisted suicide, but groups like the Hemlock Society are pushing for the whole country to go the same way. The House of Representatives passed a bill last fall to prevent the use of federally controlled drugs from being used in Oregon and other states to kill people, but the threat of a filibuster by liberals has blocked its passage in the Senate.

In spite of this legalized killing of the innocent, the elderly and those with infirmities it is rare to hear pulpit preachers or radio and television evangelists speak out against the death culture that is being pushed in America. One reason is their fear of losing their tax exempt status. The Internal Revenue Service has said that a tax exempt organization may not endorse or contribute to the support of a political candidate, but the church should not get involved in this manner anyway. Yet, there is much latitude in what the IRS tolerates by tax exempt organizations. Churches may discuss a candidate's views on public issues and distribute surveys on a candidate's position or his voting record. In fact, the IRS allows some things in which the church ought not to be involved, such as allowing a political candidate to speak at church meetings or allowing political ads in church bulletins for pay (or for free).*

The bottom

line for the child of God is "we ought to obey God rather than men" (Acts 5:29).

One of the first things Hitler did when he started his cult of death in Nazi Germany was to silence the preachers and other religious leaders. We must not let it happen here.

Of course for many preachers fear of the IRS is not the problem, but they are simply afraid of the pew. That is, they are fearful of offending some person in the congregation whose favorite candidate has said, "I will protect a woman's right to choose." By this the candidate means I will protect a woman's choice to kill her baby. Others want the pulpit to be silent about the evil of executing pre-born children, because a daughter, daughter-in-law or granddaughter has been caught up in such. Instead of teaching on the evil of killing one's baby and in kindness calling those so ensnared to repentance they want to fire the preacher who opposes such. For example, brother Bobby Crowell of Amory, MS was fired last year from another congregation in the state because he took the pro-life position and publicly opposed abortion. It has happened to others. Beloved, do not fear men, but do as God commanded Isaiah, "Cry aloud, spare not; lift up your voice like a trumpet and tell my people of their transgression..." (Isaiah 58:1). Someone may say, "it is no body's business how I vote because we have a secret ballot in this country," but as brother Jim Boyd of McMinnville, TN says, he or she should remember that God knows how they vote.

Again some preachers just do not believe we should condemn evils like abortion, euthanasia or the so-called gay life style, because they are "political issues." John the Baptist was not afraid to rebuke Herod for marrying his brother's wife even though the marriage was a "political issue" (Matthew 14:1-12; Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, Book 18, 5:1,4).

*For a full discussion of these IRS rules phone the *Right To Life Newspaper* office at 202-626-8800 or fax them at 202-737-9189 and ask for their August issue.

FEEL FREE TO COPY ARTICLES FROM BULLETIN BRIEFS OR THE WHOLE PAPER IF YOU DESIRE.